

EE 582 HW#5 SOLUTIONS

#25: The numerator and denominator of H are coprime, so a minimal realization has order 2 and $\det(sI-A) = \text{den}(H)$. Any realization of order 2 of H must be minimal, therefore, c.c. and c.o.

#26: The zeros of H are $\{1, -2\}$ and the poles $\{1, -2, -1, -1\}$. Since there are common roots, a realization of order 4 cannot be minimal. Since the t.f. is realized in the controller canonical form, it must be not c.o. For an observable+controllable (minimal) realization we can apply the KCF algorithm or, simply, perform the algebraic cancellations and realize the resulting system:

$$H(s) = \frac{1}{s^2+2s+1} \Rightarrow [A, B, C, D] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, (0 \ 1), 0 \right]$$

#27: $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$
 $y = Cx + Du \Rightarrow u = -D^{-1}Cx + D^{-1}y$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \dot{x} &= Ax + B(-D^{-1}Cx + D^{-1}y) \\ &= (A - BD^{-1}C)x + BD^{-1}y \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow H^{-1} = \left[(A - BD^{-1}C), BD^{-1}, -D^{-1}C, D^{-1} \right]$$

#28:

$$1) \quad 1+P = [A, B, C, D+I]$$

$$(1+P)^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} A - B(I+D)^{-1}C & B(I+D)^{-1} & -(I+D)^{-1}C & (I+D)^{-1} \end{array} \right]$$

(provided that $(I+D)^{-1}$ exists; otherwise the feedback system is not well-defined).

The cascade interconnection of two subsystems H_1 and H_2 has the realization

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & \\ & A_2 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} y_1$$

$$y = [0 \quad C_2] x + D_2 u_2$$

$$u_2 = y_1 = [C_1 \quad 0] x + D_1 u$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ B_2 C_1 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 D_1 \end{bmatrix} u$$

$$y = [D_2 C_1 \quad C_2] x + D_2 D_1 u$$

Substituting,

$$(1+P)^{-1}P \leftrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc} \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ B(I+D)^{-1}C & A - B(I+D)^{-1}C \end{array} \right), & \left(\begin{array}{c} B \\ B(I+D)^{-1}D \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} (I+D)^{-1}C & -(I+D)^{-1}C \end{array} \right) \\ & (I+D)^{-1}(D) \end{array} \right]$$

$$2) \quad \dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = Cx + Du$$

$$u = r - y = r - Cx - Du = -(I+D)^{-1}Cx + (I+D)^{-1}r$$

(I+D must be invertible)

$$\Rightarrow \dot{x} = (A - B(I+D)^{-1}C)x + B(I+D)^{-1}r$$

$$y = (C - D(I+D)^{-1}C)x + D(I+D)^{-1}r$$

Notice that for both cases the system must be square (# inputs = # outputs).

While neither realization is a priori minimal, for the second realization we can observe that its order is the same as the order of P . The first realization has order twice as the order of P , so it cannot be minimal, and it may involve pole-zero cancellations in the RHP, if P has zeros in the RHP. On the other hand, if P is minimal, it can be shown that the second realization is minimal ($t.f = \frac{n}{n+d}$ which are coprime if n, d are coprime; the same is true for MIMO systems)

#29 : We write ss-realizations for the forward and feedback paths:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad y = Cx + Du$$

$$\dot{z} = Fz + Gy, \quad v = Hz + Jy$$

with interconnection $u = r - v$.

Substituting, $u = r - Hz - J(Cx + Du)$

$$= (I + JD)^{-1} [-JC, -H] \begin{pmatrix} x \\ z \end{pmatrix} + (I + JD)^{-1} r$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{z} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A - B(I + JD)^{-1}JC & B(I + JD)^{-1}H \\ \hline GC - GD(I + JD)^{-1}JC & F - GD(I + JD)^{-1}H \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B \\ GD(I + JD)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} r$$

$$y = \left(C - D(I + JD)^{-1}JC \mid -D(I + JD)^{-1}H \right) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ z \end{pmatrix} + D(I + JD)^{-1} r$$

Again, $(I + JD)^{-1}$ should exist otherwise the feedback system is not well-defined.

#30 : i) We define the systems in MATLAB:

$$H_{11} = ss(tf([-3, -6, -2], [1, 2, 1]))$$

⋮

$$H_{13} = ss(tf([-2], [1, 2, 1])) * ss(tf(1, [1, -2]))$$

⋮

(many choices and possibilities are possible).

Then, we construct the system by defining the system object:

$$H = [H_{11}, H_{12}, H_{13} \quad H_{21}, H_{22}, H_{23}]$$

This is a 16-th order system

2) We compute controllability and observability matrices with the Matlab commands "ctrb" and "obsv".

The rank of Q_c is 9 and the rank of Q_o is 8.

The Hankel matrix is $Q_o Q_c$ whose rank is 7. This is the order of a minimal realization of the system (notice that $\text{rk}(Q_o Q_c) \leq \min(\text{rk}(Q_o), \text{rk}(Q_c))$.)

3) Apply the algorithm in the notes. Check by comparing the system responses (bode and step, if possible, because the system is not stable) A better way to check system equality is to plot the singular values of the error system ($\sigma(H - H_r)$) that should be very small ($\sim -200\text{dB}$). The singular values, however, will not give an indication of which terms are different, in case of a mistake in the computations. Notice that, in general, one cannot simply compare the state space realizations - even with Matlab's `winreal` - since the realizations can be different by a similarity transformation.

#31. The controllability gramian is $(\text{gram}(H, 'c'))$

$$W_c = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1667 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the observability gramian is

$$W_o = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

The first transformation now is (based on W_c)

$$T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.707 & \\ & 0.408 \end{bmatrix}$$

For the transformed system the observability gramian

$$\text{is } \bar{W}_o = \begin{pmatrix} 0.25 & \\ & 0.25 \end{pmatrix}$$

so the second transformation is

$$T_2 = \sqrt{\sqrt{\begin{pmatrix} 0.25 & \\ & 0.25 \end{pmatrix}}^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.414 & \\ & 1.414 \end{pmatrix}$$

The balanced system is

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1.732 \\ +1.732 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, (1, 0), 0 \right]$$

with gramians $\begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & \\ & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$

(Notice that Matlab's "balreal" produces a different answer that still has the same gramians).

```
% EEE 582, Problem 32
% Example on state-space realization from Hankel matrix data

Hd=tf([1 0],conv([1 -.2],[1 -.5]),1)
ir=impz(Hd);
M=20; % make sure there are enough samples
ir = ir(2:M); % define H starting from h(2) = CB instead of h(1) = D

% % could try some quick example from noisy step response data too!
% y=step(Hd,0:100);y=y+(rand(size(y))-0.5)*.1; plot(y);pause
% ir=diff(y);

H=hankel(ir); semilogy(svd(H),'x');pause

T=H(1:10,1:10); TT=H(1:10,2:11); [K,S,L]=svd(T);
semilogx(diag(S),'x');pause % use r = 10 and estimate the order N

N=input('Give system order [2] '); if isempty(N);N=2;end
K=K(:,1:N); L=L(:,1:N);
O=K*sqrt(S(1:N,1:N)); C=sqrt(S(1:N,1:N))*(L'); % compute the O/C matrices
a=pinv(O)*TT*pinv(C); b=(C(:,1)); c=O(1,:); Hh=ss(a,b,c,0,1); % define the system
bode(Hd,Hh);pause % compare with the original
step(Hd,Hh);pause
tf(Hh)
```